



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/788,429	02/27/2004	Sun-Dong Lee	P2009US00	6998		
58027	7590	01/22/2010				
H.C. PARK & ASSOCIATES, PLC	EXAMINER					
8500 LEESBURG PIKE	CASCA, FRED A					
SUITE 7500	ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER			
VIENNA, VA 22182	2617					
NOTIFICATION DATE		DELIVERY MODE				
01/22/2010		ELECTRONIC				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

PATENT@PARK-LAW.COM

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/788,429	Applicant(s) LEE, SUN-DONG
	Examiner FRED A. CASCA	Art Unit 2617

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
 - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
 - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED. (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 October 2008.
- 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 1,2,4-14 and 18-26 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) 1-2, 4-14 and 18-26 is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) All b) Some * c) None of:
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/06)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTC-152)
 6) Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

1. This action is in response to applicant's amendment filed on October 06, 2009. Claims 1-2, 4-14 and 18-26 are still pending in the present application. **This Action is made FINAL.**

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

3. Claims 1-2, 4, 8-14, 18-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nguyen (U.S. Pub. No. 2002/0111167 A1) and further in view of Troen-Krasnow et al (U.S. Patent No. 6,493,431 B1).

Referring to claim 1, Nguyen discloses an incoming message alarming system (abstract), comprising a wireless communication system for to transmit an incoming message to a called mobile communication terminal, and to transmit a notification message including an identification of a calling mobile communication terminal (paragraphs 7 and 8, "This is followed by notifying the called MS that the incoming voice call is waiting, determining whether the called MS accepted the incoming voice call, and delivering the incoming voice call to the called MS"), and

a messenger service system to receive the first notification message from the wireless communication system and for sending a notification message (paragraph 7-8, "message center", "notification", "SMS", "Data Waiting Indicator", "the MC then sends a Short Message Service (SMS) message containing a Data Waiting Indicator"),

wherein the incoming message comprises voice communications or data communications (abstract, paragraphs 4, and 6-8, "voice call", "data").

Nguyen does not specifically disclose sending a second notification message to a personal computer, the second notification message for providing notification that the called mobile communication terminal is receiving the incoming message.

In the same field of endeavor, Troen-Krasnow discloses a message server (messenger) sending a notification message to a called party's personal computer that a call has been received (abstract, col. 1, line 60 through col. 2, line 2, and col. 5, lines 1-60, col. 6, lines 1-50, particularly col. 5, lines 1-6, "server 180 then identifies the calling party based on the calling party's telephone number", "The notification message from the message server 180 may be an electronic mail (email) message transmitted to the called party's personal computer over a computer network", "called party may log onto the message server 180 via network 400 to retrieve the message").

An advantage of sending an incoming call notification message to a personal computer is that when a mobile user is working on a computer in an environment where his/her mobile phone

is shut off or radio communication is suppressed. Then such notification via a personal computer will enable him/her to be notified of his/her incoming calls.

It would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skills in the art at the time of invention to modify the system of Nguyen by incorporating the teachings of Troen-Krasnow, and consequently providing sending the second incoming message notification to a personal computer of the called party, for the purpose of providing a more efficient incoming call notification system where a mobile phone user is notified of any incoming calls even when he/she is in a suppressed environment.

Referring to claims 8, 11 and 18, claims 8, 11 and 18 define a wireless communication system, a messenger service system, and a method for alarming an incoming message reciting features analogous to the message alarming system defined by claim 1 (as rejected above). Thus, the combinations of Nguyen//Troen-Krasnow disclose all elements of claims 8, 11 and 18 (please see the rejection of claim 1 above).

Referring to claim 2, the combinations of Nguyen//Troen-Krasnow disclose the incoming message alarming system of claim 1, and further disclose the wireless communication system comprises a base station for receiving the incoming message, a mobile switching center for transmitting the first notification message to the messenger service system, and a home location register for storing location information of a called subscriber, subscriber information representing whether or not the called subscriber is an incoming message alarming service subscriber, and flag information indicating an activation state of the incoming message alarming

service (Nguyen, figure 1-2, and paragraphs 8-10, and 21-27, 31 and 33, “BS-1”, “BS-2”, “MSC-1”, “MSC-2”, “HLR”, note that the subscriber is informed of the messages, hence a flag is inherently indicating the activation state of the incoming call, “notification”, “SMS”, “Data Waiting Indicator”). “HLR”, note that the HLR inherently comprises the database where the database has IP information about the subscribers in its domain).

Referring to claim 23, the combinations of Nguyen//Troen-Krasnow disclose the incoming message alarming system of claim 1, and further disclose the messenger service system comprises a messenger information database for storing an IP address and a messenger ID of a called subscriber corresponding to the called mobile communication terminal (Troen-Krasnow, Figures 1-5, col. 4, lines 29-41, col. 5, line 1-65, col. 6, lines 1-47, “the message server 180 receives the telephone call and reads the original called number, such as he Dialed Number Identification Service . . . to identify the called party (step 315), “the message server 180 sends a notification to the called party . . . to the called party’s personal computer”, “network 400 may include an Internet”, note the called party is identified according the number that was dialed, thus a messenger information database exists and stores the a messenger ID of the called party. Further, a message notification is sent to the called party’s personal computer and through the Internet, hence it is inherent that IP address of the called party is found and used so that the notification message is sent to the called party’s computer. Hence, it is inherent that messenger information database exists for storing IP address and a messenger ID of the called subscriber); and a messenger server for receiving the first notification message from the wireless communication system and for sending the second notification message, wherein the personal

computer corresponds to the IP address (Troen-Krasnow, Figures 1-5, col. 4, lines 29-41, col. 5, line 1-65, col. 6, lines 1-47, note that a message notification is sent to the called party's personal computer and through the Internet, hence it is inherent a messenger server exists for receiving the base alarm information from the wireless communication system and sending the incoming message alarming information to the personal computer according to the IP address).

It would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skills in the art at the time of invention to modify the system of Nguyen by incorporating the teachings of Troen-Krasnow, and consequently providing the messenger service system to comprise a messenger information database for storing an IP address and a messenger ID of the called subscriber and a messenger server for receiving the base alarm information from the wireless communication system and sending the incoming message alarming information to the personal computer according to the IP address for the system of Nguyen, motivation being for the purpose of identifying the called party accurately through the Internet and sending notification via user's computer, and allowing the called party to receive and retrieve notifications and messages while logged on to a personal computer, and providing convenience to the user.

Referring to claim 4, the combinations of Nguyen/Troen-Krasnow disclose the incoming message alarming system of claim 23, and further disclose the messenger server stores use information or whether to use an incoming message service alarming service in the messenger information database (Nguyen, figures 1-2, and paragraphs 8-10, and 21-23).

Referring to claims 9 and 14, the combination of Nguyen//Troen-Krasnow discloses the wireless communication system and the messenger service system of claims 8 and 11, and

further disclose information in the first notification message is or the second notification message comprises an identification of the called mobile communication terminal and an identifications of a calling mobile communication terminal (see the rejection of claim 1).

Referring to claim 10, the combinations of Nguyen/Troen-Krasnow disclose the wireless communication system of claim 8, and further disclose the mobile switching center stores the first notification message (Nguyen, figures 1-2, and paragraphs 21-23).

Referring claim 12, the combination of Nguyen/Troen-Krasnow disclose the messenger service system of claim 11, and further disclose the second notification message is transmitted through the internet to the personal computer (Troen-Krasnow, col. 1, line 60 through col. 2, line 2, and col. 5, lines 1-60, col. 6, lines 1-50).

It would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skills in the art at the time of invention to modify the system of Nguyen by incorporating the teachings of Troen-Krasnow, motivation being for the purpose of allowing the called party to receive and retrieve notifications and messages while logged on to a personal computer, and providing convenience to the user.

Referring to claim 13, the combination of Nguyen/Troen-Krasnow discloses the messenger service system of claim 11, and further discloses the messenger server stores the second notification message (Nguyen, paragraphs 7-10, and 21-23).

Referring to claim 19, the combination of Nguyen/Troen-Krasnow disclose the method of claim 18, and further disclose the step of transmitting a first notification message further comprises receiving the incoming message from a calling mobile communication terminal; and determining an activation state of an incoming message alarming service (Nguyen, paragraphs 7-10, and 21-24).

Referring to claim 20, the combination of Nguyen/Troen-Krasnow discloses the method of claim 18, and further disclose the step of providing notification comprises determining if a called subscriber has logged in to an incoming messenger alarming service (Nguyen, figure 1-2, and paragraphs 7-10, 16-19, and 21-23).

Nguyen does not specifically disclose transmitting the incoming message alarming information to a personal computer, and displaying on the personal computer an incoming message alarming window indicating that the called mobile communication terminal is receiving the incoming message as claimed.

Troen-Krasnow teaches transmitting the incoming message alarming information to the personal computer, which the called subscriber has logged in; and creating an incoming message alarming window indicating the incoming message's arrival (col. 1, line 60 through col. 2, line 2, and col. 5, lines 1-60, col. 6, lines 1-50, "server 180 then identifies the calling party based on the calling party's telephone number", "The notification message from the message server 180 may be an electronic mail (email) message transmitted to the called party's personal computer over a computer network", "a LAN, a WAN . . . to which the computer 410 has access", "called party may log onto the message server 180 via network 400 to retrieve the message").

It would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skills in the art at the time of invention to modify the system of Nguyen by incorporating the teachings of Troen-Krasnow, for the purpose of allowing the called party to receive and retrieve notifications and messages while logged on to a personal computer, and providing an efficient notification system.

Referring to claim 21, the combinations of Nguyen/Troen-Krasnow discloses the method of claim 19, and further discloses the step of transmitting a first notification message further comprises storing the first notification message (see rejection of claim 1).

Referring to claim 22, the combinations of Nguyen/Troen-Krasnow discloses the method of claim 20, and further discloses storing the second notification message (Nguyen, paragraphs 7-10, and 21-23).

Referring to claim 24, the combinations of Nguyen/Troen-Krasnow disclose the system of claim 11 and further disclose the messenger server includes messenger server database (Nguyen, figures 1-4, col. 7-10, 16-19 and 21-24).

Referring to claims 25 and 26, the combinations of Nguyen/Troen-Krasnow disclose the systems of claims 9 and 14, and further disclose the first notification message or the second notification message comprises a data message (Nguyen, abstract, paragraphs 7 and 8, rejection of claim 1)

4. Claims 5-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nguyen (U.S. Pub. No. 2002/0111167 A1), further in view of Troen-Krasnow et al (U.S. Patent No. 6,493,431 B1), and still further in view of Shiraogawa et al (US 2004/0253975 A1).

Referring to claim 5, the combinations of Nguyen/Troen-Krasnow disclose the incoming message alarming system of claim 4.

Nguyen do not disclose flag information is updated by the use information in the format claimed by applicant.

However, flag updating is common in communication system, particularly in TCP header where flags are continually updated according to communication status, as Shiraogawa discloses flag updating in response to data transfer status (paragraph 52).

It would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the combination in the format claimed and consequently providing flag information stored in the wireless communication system and indicating an activation state of the incoming alarming service for the purpose of providing a more communication system.

Referring to claim 6, the combinations of Nguyen/Troen-Krasnow/Shiraogawa disclose the alarming system of claim 5, and further disclose the messenger server transmits the second notification message to the personal computer when the incoming message alarming service has been activated (Nguyen, figures 1-2, and paragraphs 8-10, and 21-23, Troen-Krasnow, col. 1, line 60 through col. 2, line 2, and col. 5, lines 1-60, col. 6, lines 1-50)

Referring to claim 7, the combination of Nguyen/Troen-Krasnow/Shiraogawa disclose the incoming message alarming system of claim 5, and further disclose the messenger server stores the second notification message when the incoming message alarming system has not activated (Nguyen, figures 1-2, and paragraphs 21-23, and Troen-Krasnow, col. 1, line 60 through col. 2, line 2, and col. 5, lines 1-60, col. 6, lines 1-50).

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-2, 4-14 and 18-26 have been considered but they are not persuasive.

In response to arguments that "the combination of references do not disclose "a messenger services system ... to send a second notification message to a person computer, the second notification message to provide notification that the called mobile communication terminal is receiving the incoming message," the examiner respectfully disagrees.

The examiner asserts that Nguyen discloses the first claimed notification message and Troen-Krasnow discloses the second claimed notification message. Therefore, both methods of sending notification messages are well known in the art as they are disclosed by Nguyen and Troen-Krasnow. The limitation is "a messenger services system ... to send a second notification message to a person computer, the second notification message to provide notification that the called mobile communication terminal is receiving the incoming message," is clearly disclosed in Troen-Krasnow's col. 4, line 57 through col. 5, line 24. Particularly, the citation, "called party may be informed of telephone calls ... notification to the called party that a call has been received ... notification ... may be ... to the called party's personal computer," reads on the limitation, "notification message to provide notification that the called mobile communication terminal is receiving the incoming message."

Further, a person of ordinary skill in the art would appreciate the different methods of call notifications to a called party and would be able to combine these call notifications in many different ways.

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 8 and 18 have been fully considered, but they are not persuasive. The cited limitation of claims 8 and 18 are analogous to the cited limitations of claim 1, and as stated above, Nguyen discloses the first claimed notification message and Troen-Krasnow discloses the second claimed notification message. Therefore, both

methods of sending notification messages are well known in the art as they are disclosed by Nguyen and Troen-Krasnow, and a person of ordinary skill in the art, having access to both call notification methods, would be able figure out combination features as claimed by applicant.

Conclusion

6. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Fred A. Casca whose telephone number is (571) 272-7918. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 9 to 5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Paul Harper, can be reached at (571) 272-7605. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

Art Unit: 2617

system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Fred A. Casca/
Examiner, Art Unit 2617

/VINCENT P. HARPER/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2617